Attachment 3



Detailed Risk Report (incl Control Measure Target Date)

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| DRCPCD00 29 | Failure to confirm the Stage 2 Civic Centre client brief leads to the programme stalling and failing to meet its business case objectives. | A failure to sign off the Stage 1 client brief in order to commence Stage 2 design. | Inability to progress Stage 2 design, resulting in the overall programme (and business case objectives) being at risk. Financial, Business Continuity, and Reputational implications. | Weekly Escalation of Required Next Steps with CEO Weekly updates and review meetings being held with CEO to secure decisions required to confirm the Client brief (Service Delivery Plans, e.g. Local Presence; Headcount Assumptions; Partner Co-location requirements). Instruction and re-mobilisation of design team required ASAP and by Christmas. Massing Option Testing with Historic England Offline testing of design capacity of the Civic Centre, in order to focus the number of options being considered by CMT. | 5 5 25 | <u>Required Control Measure</u> Target Date: | 5 4 20 | Hayley Miller | Creating And Maintaining A Vibrant, Successful Place |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
|----------|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| DR0029 | Failure to deliver new Civic Centre by end of the Mulberry Place lease leads to the need to deliver an alternative temporary office location Managed and monitored as part of the Civic Centre Project Board Strategic Risk Register which includes detailed risk mitigations and controls Reported to the Project Board, the Council's Major Projects Board, CMT fortnightly and briefings to the Mayor. | Detailed in Civic Centre Project Strategic Risk Register | Detailed in Civic Centre Project Strategic Risk Register | Present a new report to November Cabinet (as per Mayor in Cabinet decision on 280715 that the original decision be withdrawn) x Explore option of negotiating an extension to Mulberry Place lease pending delivery of permanent Town Hall solution Current lease expires June 2020 Action outcomes of the Mayoral briefings on the Civic Centre Project x Obtain Cabinet approval for officer's recommendations Civic Centre Report | 4 5 20 | Required control measures are detailed in the Civic Centre Project - Strategic Risk Register Ann Sutcliffe <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: | 3 4 12 | Aman Dalvi | A Transformed Council, Making Best Use Of Resources And With |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------|---|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| REV0007 | Impact on local income from appeals on the new local rating effective from 1/4/17. On the 1/4/17 all business premises will have a new rateable value to reflect a more up to date economic valuation of their premises. All ratepayers have the right to appeal against their valuation and at the beginning of any new valuation list there is always a dramatic increase in the number of appeals by ratepayers and their agents. Traditionally the government sets the new multiplier higher in the first year of a revaluation to take into account the losses of income due to these appeals. If the multiplier is set too low, then LBTH will suffer losses of income as appeals are settled and reductions in RV are achieved. | The multiplier for 2017/2018 not being set high enough to take into account losses due to appeals on the new local rating list effective from 1/4/17. | Loss of revenue generated from Business Rates. Impact of revenue loss on provision of services. | | | Draft new values available September 2017 when estimates can be made on income levels and value of appeals. Roger Jones <u>Required Control Measure</u> Target Date: 07/10/2017 | | Roger Jones | A Transformed Council, Making Best Use Of Resources And With An Ontward Looking Cuthure |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
|---------------|--|---|--|---|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|--|
| CSDSC000 4 | Incidents of serious violence where young people known to or in the care (LAC) of the Local Authority are harmed or perpetrate harm in a community setting | Postcode tensions due to gang activity, honeytrap behaviour or rumours on social media escalating tension between groups of young people who consdier themselves to be aligned to a gang or postcode group. Revenge attacks by friends or family of young people who have been targeted for violence or humilation | Risk of serious harm or death to the young people concerned. Risk of harm to community members who may inadvertantly become caught up in the incident. Reputational risk to Local Authority and poor media coverage. | Targetted or/and specialist intervention Targetted or/and specialist intervention with young people and their families from a range of services within the Community Safety partnership. Monitoring of intelligence through the monthly gangs unit meetings and daily police intelligence meetings in the Youth Offending Service. Regular reviews to assist risk management using the Risk Management panel. | 4 4 16 | Better co-ordination of intelligence with closer partnership with the Rapid Response team Refresh of Risk Management policy. Better co-ordination of intelligence with closer partnership with the Rapid Response team to de-escalate community violence. Increased resources in the A&E 'critical moment' intervention to respond on an early intervention basis. Review of the front door of the Youth Offending Service to realign it with the MASH <i>Nikki Bradley</i> <u>Required Control Measure</u> <u>Taraet Date:</u> <u>31/03/2017</u> | 3 4 12 | Nikki Bradley | Creating Opportunity By Supporting Aspiration And Tackling Doverty |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | Current Risk | | | et Risk | | |
|----------|--|---|--|---|--------------|--|-----|---------|----------------|--|
| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | LI | Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| SD0015 | Death or serious harm to a vulnerable adult that was or should have been in receipt of services, either from the council or a partner agency. | There is a failure of one or more of the controls which fails to identify the degree of risk to a vulnerable adult. Poor practice and inadequate management oversight. Failure of quality control systems. Service user fails to work to agreed partnership / agency arrangements. Poor communication and partnership work. Poor resourcing of service areas against increased demand. Local authority contracted out service do not have sufficiently robust safeguarding arrangements. | Harm to an individual. Reputational damage to the Council. Potential for legal proceedings against the council leading to financial loss. Loss of confidence in safeguarding capability. | Revised safeguarding procedures introduced from care act implemented. Robust safeguarding procedures in place. Oversight through management reporting Social workers have 1:1 supervsion monthly on thier casework including safeguarding cases. The PSMT meet monthly to review and monitor Adult Safeguarding cases and develop and implement action plans and lessons learnt. Information campaigns to raise awareness of safeguarding oversight from safeguarding oversight from safeguarding board A sub group of the Safeboarding board leads on publicity and promotion of safeguarding Safeguarding issues as part of contract management procedures Target operating module as part of the care act implemented. This includes key worker role assigned. Signs of safety framework implemented. This framework helps identify the risks in a strategic manner. CQC care commission embargo list used. This list is available from the CQC highlighting all providers where the CQC has raised concerns. | 3 5 15 | 4 year (2015 – 2019) adults board strategy The actions within the SAB strategy aim to mitigate the risks associated with safeguarding. <i>Luke Addams</i> <u>Required Control Measure</u> <u>Taraet Date:</u> <u>30/06/2016</u> Developing a strategic approach to reviewing and analysing data in a systematic way. The Council and its partners have a number of controls to monitor and safeguard vulnerable adults including data from a variety of sources. The proposed control seeks to use this from a structured analysis to help identify areas of risk. Commissioning and Health development of a systematic approach to monitoring the quality and safety of external and internal provision is underway, links with wider quality monitoring and the adults QAF are being firmed up. Karen Sugars <u>Required Control Measure</u> <u>Target Date:</u> <u>29/07/2016</u> | 2 5 | 10 | Luke Addams | Creating Opportunity By Supporting Aspiration And Tackling Poverty |

| | | | | | Current Risk | | Target Risk | | |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----|
| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| | | | | Failed visit policy and procedures in | | | | | |
| | | | | place. | | | | | |
| | | | | Introduction of safeguarding Star | | | | | |
| | | | | Chamber for front line teams | | | | | |
| | | | | Decision on discharge policy in | | | | | |
| | | | | consultation with Bart's | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Dials D - f | Dialia | Tringen | 0 | Eviating Control Manager | Current Risk | Described Constral, Manager | | et Risk | Deener - 15 117 | ODT |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|---|------------|---------|--------------------------------|--|
| Risk Ref CSD0016 | Risks Death or serious harm to | Triggers There is a failure of one | Consequences Harm to an individual | Existing Control Measures Adherence to statutory government | L I Total | Required Control Measures Continue to implement Signs of | L I 2 5 | Total | Responsibility Debbie Jones | CPT |
| | a child that was or should | or more the controls | | guidance, policies and procedures | | Safety as overarching practice | | | | |
| | have been in receipt of | which fails to identify the | Poorer than expected | laid down by the council and LSCB / | | framework | | | | Creating Opportunity By Supporting Aspiration And Tackling Powerty |
| | services, either from the | degree of risk to a child. | outcomes for a child. | SAB | | | | | | A B C |
| | council or a partner | The common failures are: | | Local Safeguarding Childrens Board | | Evaluation of signs of safety is | | | | atio |
| | agency. | poor practice and | Poor audit/review | Statutory government | | being led by Kings college. | | | | port Pov |
| | | inadequate management | findings | guidance, polices and procedures in | | Findings especially around effectiveness will be included in | | | | Op Aŝ Aŝ |
| | | oversight; failure of | | place. | | planning for the service and | | | | rting rting |
| | | quality control systems; | Reputational damage to | Management oversight including | | related work. There is emerging | | | | ppol |
| | | service user fails to | the council. | supervision is in place. | | evidence that this is an effective | | | | S dr |
| | | work to agreed | | Quality Assurance framework to | | approach to reduce risk for | | | | |
| | | partnership / agency | Loss of experienced | check and audit various areas is in | | children in families and also can | | | | |
| | | arrangements; Poor | professional staff. | place. | | give value for money. | | | | |
| | | communication and | | Quality assurance systems | | Having trained children social | | | | |
| | | parthership work;Poor | Potential for legal | including case audits, LSCB and | | care staff we are now working | | | | |
| | | resourcing of service | proceedings against the | SAB sub-groups. | | with partners in the tier 2 space | | | | |
| | | areas against increased | council leading to | There is a new approach being | | and developing a detailed | | | | |
| | | demand which impacts | financial loss | taken to multiagency audits via the | | practice model. | | | | |
| | | on quality of | | LSCB sub group and CSC are | | Paul McGee | | | | |
| | | decision-making; Local | Loss of confidence in | revewing their QA framework with | | Required Control Measure | | | | |
| | | authority contracted out | safeguarding capability | a view to achieve less process, | | Target Date: | | | | |
| | | service do not have | across the council, | more assurance and better | | 30/11/2016 | | | | |
| | | sufficiently robust | partnership and wider. | feedback. | | Ensure that CMT have a view of | | | | |
| | | safeguarding | | There is an active internal audit | | the activities within the service | | | | |
| | | arrangements | | programme that does pick up key | | | | | | |
| | | | | areas of risk and challenge within | | Ensure that CMT have a view of | | | | |
| | | | | safeguarding. The effectiveness of | | the activities within the service | | | | |
| | | | | this system is a work in progress. | | and develop some assurance | | | | |
| | | | | CSC have set up an inspection and | | using the corporate accountability | | | | |
| | | | | improvement board to focus on | | framework, risk register, | | | | |
| | | | | target areas that indicate system | | management oversight, audit | | | | |
| | | | | and practice concerns that care not | | framework and Forward Plan. | | | | |
| | | | | being resolved through business as | | CMT safeguarding board is | | | | |
| | | | | usual processes. | | active. | | | | |
| | | | | | | The Ofsted SEF document have | | | | |
| | | | | | | been through DMT and to lead | | | | |
| | | | | | | member. | | | | |
| | | | | | | A new Inspection and | | | | |
| | | | | | | Improvement Board has been set | | | | |
| | | | | | | up. | | | | |
| | | | | | | Debbie Jones | | | | |
| | | | | | | Required Control Measure | | | | |
| | | | | | | Target Date: | | | | |
| | | | | | | 30/12/2016 | | | | |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | | 11199619 | | | | | | Responsibility | |
| | | | | Effective working relations and | | Robust commissioning that | | | |
| | | | | swift communication across | | includes safeguarding checks of | | | |
| | | | | partnership agencies | | providers | | | |
| | | | | Effective working relations and | | A new commissioning strategy | | | |
| | | | | swift communication across | | for children's services is being | | | |
| | | | | partnership agencies that is held at | | developed. which will focus on | | | |
| | | | | different levels of Children's | | safeguarding and wellbeing offer | | | |
| | | | | services. | | to vulnerable children with | | | |
| | | | | LSCB has an executive board for | | measurable outcomes. | | | |
| | | | | Directors and Chief Executive | | Debbie Jones | | | |
| | | | | Officer level across the | | Required Control Measure | | | |
| | | | | partnership. | | Target Date: | | | |
| | | | | LSCB and LBTH CS has | | 29/12/2016 | | | |
| | | | | performance management and QA | | Overview of level of violence and | | | |
| | | | | systems in place. | | risk in families in Tower Hamlets | | | |
| | | | | This provide evidence and | | Given the number of critical | | | |
| | | | | assurance to safeguarding board | | | | | |
| | | | | and partners that service is being | | incidents involving children and | | | |
| | | | | delivered to expected standards, | | young people in Tower Hamlets | | | |
| | | | | there are clear (proxy) indicators | | over the last 12 months, it is | | | |
| | | | | and where it is not so remedial | | proposed via the CMT | | | |
| | | | | action can be taken. | | Safeguarding Board that we | | | |
| | | | | Serious case review/learning | | update the JSNA on domestic | | | |
| | | | | process in place | | violence and abuse as part of the | | | |
| | | | | There has been a refreshed case | | annual assessment on community | | | |
| | | | | alert process disseminated within | | safety. The links between gang | | | |
| | | | | Children Social Care, which feeds | | violence and violence in families | | | |
| | | | | into the LSCB case review group. | | will be explored as part of this | | | |
| | | | | Any ongoing SCR work is held at | | analysis. | | | |
| | | | | service head level and a | | The purpose will be to test the | | | |
| | | | | communication flow is done to the | | feasibility of a public health type | | | |
| | | | | CMT Corporate Safeguarding | | specific reducing violence | | | |
| | | | | Group, chaired by Chief Executive | | strategy across the borough. It | | | |
| | | | | Officer. | | will also enable CMT to consider | | | |
| | | | | Safeguarding training programme in | | the integration of the the many | | | |
| | | | | | | pieces of work going on within | | | |
| | | | | place covering induction and | | the council and across the wider | | | |
| | | | | workforce development programme | | partnership aiming to increase | | | |
| | | | | Stoff complete the Liggith and Corre | | safety and wellbeing of c/yp | | | |
| | | | | Staff complete the Health and Care | | within a measurable framework. | | | |
| | | | | professions Council (HPC) | | Debbie Jones | | | |
| | | | | re-registration process every 3 | | Required Control Measure | | | |
| | | | | years | | Target Date: | | | |
| | | | | And there is a process to pick up | | 30/11/2016 | | | |
| | | | | delays/failures in registration so | | | | | |
| | | | | action can be taken. | | | | | |

| | | | | | Current Risk | | Target Risk | | |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----|
| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| | | | | Corporate Accountability Framework This describes key roles and has a number of expected activities that gave the authority assurance or identifies problem areas. Highlight is the CMT safeguarding monthly meeting chaired by the CEO in which key safeguarding risks are discussed and actioned. This framework also enables the appointment and management of an independent LSCB chair. Review arrangements of looked after children and child protection is led by the child protection review service. This is a critical challenge role to children's social workers. There is on going work to improve the oversight and authorative advice role. A new resolution process has been introduced and there is regular reporting of QA activities to CSC management team. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Current Risk | | | et Risk | | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|--|-----|---------|----------------|--|
| lisk Ref Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | LI | Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| SDR0002 Councils inability to meet demand for secondary school places | Actual roll > projected roll exceeds available capacity Higher than anticipated birth rate / inward migration Insufficient capacity created in time to meet need Decisions not taken in time to implement projects to provide places 'Scheming" Powers: Schedule 1 of the 2010 Academies Act gives the Secretary of State powers to transfer a school property to an academy and/or free school | Failure to have sufficient statutory school places to meet local need, children out of school and LA failing to meet duty Additional transport costs; lack of parental engagement as children not at local school Insufficient capacity for school places realised in existing estate. Additional costs incurred due to re phasing of projects if unanticipated delays in decision making. | Sites for new secondary schools secured following Examination in Public Annual review of capital programme schemes and available resources (grant, s. 106 & CIL Service Head - Resources chairs regular meeting to review short/medium and long term position Monitoring of projected pupil numbers V roll numbers and planned capacity on-going cycle Planning sufficient expansion options to provide additional capacity required Engagement of GLA to provide projections to strengthen reliance on LBTH model - Further review to provide annual projections GLA continue to provide roll projections; LBTH supply GLA with housing development data Revised governance arrangements of the Pupil Place Planning group to report directly to the Corporate Asset and Capital Board Produce briefing on primary sites and accurate timely updates. Timing of further reports to be agreed. Programme of primary school site reviews commenced. | 3 5 15 | PPP Group to assess implications of 2016 projections, across primary and secondary estate Assessing financial implications (medium and long term) - financial implications continue to be under review Sayed Miah <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: 31/03/2017 Continuing to engage Members and community, delivering annual report to Cabinet Pat Watson <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: 30/09/2016 Internal monitoring of programme (PPP meetings) PPP meetings held Pat Watson <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: 31/03/2016 Consider the outcomes of the current SEN Review and attempt to project the provision of SEN required across the borough Pat Watson <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: 31/12/2016 | 1 5 | 5 | Sayed Miah | Creating Opportunity By Supporting Aspiration And |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | | | | Need for places included in LBTH Best Value Plan In assessing surplus or underused sites for disposal, particular attention will be paid to ensuring that where school places can be achieved, these are highlighted and sites will be considered for new school and/or expansion provision. Sites for two new primary schools secured Cabinet approved 5 FE primary school paces - May 2015 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | | СРТ |
|----------|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|---|
| RSB0019 | Maintaining and strengthen financial viability/balance in 2016/17 to 2017/18 | Reduction in government funding NHS integration – unfunded services transferred in through Public Health and Adult Social Care Changes in Government initiatives/priorities Potential inability to manage working capital effectively Increasing expectation of the Council aligned with increasing local need Implications of welfare reform agenda on council services and budgets CSR in Autum 2015 HRA changes Population growth | Future service cuts Inability to meet public expectation Increased pressure on delivery of statutory and priority services Unfunded base budget pressures/new burdens from government Failure to deliver community plan/strategic plan priorities. | Formal annual budget setting process and medium term financial plan Continuous monitoring of Council's medium term financial plan in place Monthly monitoring and management reporting of Council's financial position Annual External Audit health check on financial processes including budgets and reporting Programme Manager for Council Savings Plan in place. Corporate Programme Board formed to monitor delivery of savings programme. Monitoring in progress. Develop and implement corporate approach to deliver and monitoring of Council savings plan and transformation plan On-going advancement of linkages between the 30 year HRA Business Plan and Council service plans. Longer term finacial and investment strategy Methodology for council transformation Programme covering all directorates with a focus on delivering service improvements, efficiencies and savings | 3 5 15 | Implement savings programme/opportunities Longer term financial and investment strategy Neville Murton <u>Required Control Measure</u> Taraet Date: 28/02/2017 | | Neville Murton | A Transformed Council, Making Best Use Of Resources And With |

| | | | | | Current Risk | | Та | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|---|--------------|---|----|------|--------------------|---|
| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | L | I To | tal Responsibility | CPT |
| CLB0019 | There is a risk that the Council may be challenged in Court for making a formal decision under the 1967 Act, to retain for educational purposes the newly constructed Christ Church Primary School's nursery building, which is built on a disused burial ground. (The basic premise of the challenge is that the school had no right to erect the building, and that it is unlawful to erect the building on a disused burial ground, and that it should be demolished.) | Decision by the Council to allow the Christ Church Primary School to occupy the building for the next academic year may trigger the matter taken to Court by the interested parties calling themselves Spitalfields Open Space (SOS) who object to the new building. | The Council allowing Christ Church Primary School to occupy the building may result in the matter taken to Court, which could result in an injunction to vacate or not to occupy the building. The Council's decision under the 1967 Act, to retain the building could be challenged in the High Court by way of a Judicial Review. | Authorise officers to seek Counsel's opinion Authorise officers to seek Counsel's opinion regarding the likely outcome of the Judicial Review currently held in abeyance (following the 1967 act determination) and on the extent to which populating the building and entering in to a lease with the school may or may not undermine our defence. CMT to agree to move forward with the lease to the School to allow occupation for the Summer Term Subject to the above advice received being marginal, neutral or positive, CMT to agree to move forward with the lease to the School to allow occupation for the Summer Term. CMT to consider if or when to commit any additional resource to the landscaping and improvement of the Open space In the context of the offer to SOS, and pending their reaction to it, CMT to consider if or when to commit any additional resource to the landscaping and improvement of the Open space. | 3 4 12 | Authorise officers to write to SOS with the Council's offers Authorise officers to write to SOS with an offer based on a 25 year life for the building and a commitment to moving the open space to a community trust and a proportion of their stated costs (to be determined by CMT). Letter sent and SOS are considering thier position <i>Roy Ormsby</i> <i>Required Control Measure</i> <i>Taraet Date:</i> CLC DMT risk review (09.04.2015): Jamie Blake to produce an update briefing note, and next step. <i>Roy Ormsby</i> <i>Required Control Measure</i> <i>Taraet Date:</i> | 2 | 4 8 | Roy Ormsby | A Transformed Council, Making Best Use Of Resources And With An חוזאימדו L החגוהה כוולויוים |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triagoro | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Targe L I | t Risk Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------------|-------|--|---|--|---------------------------|---|--------------|-----------------|----------------|---|
| CLSCEI000 8 | | Triggers Failure by Directorates to ensure that the Borough Major Emergency Plan (Part 3B) is kept up to date with Directorate information and procedures, and that staff are unaware of the EP process. | Consequences (1) Systemic failure of the Council to continue to operate during a crisis period as a consequence of a civil event or situation; (2) Resulting in a slower than expected management response causing increased disruption to key service delivery, inconvenience to service users and the stakeholders, adverse public criticism and additional costs to the Council. | Existing control measures 2016 June BC business impact assessment reviews by service areas 2016 June BC plan update notification has been sent to directorates Business continuity plan updates remain outstanding from 3 Directorates – CX, Resources and ECSW, meaning the Council's corporate BC plan is out of date. Staff with extensive experience in dealing with incidents need to be in place Officers in the Civil Protection Unit have dealt with this type of incident on several occasions, and have gained quite extensive experience on dealing with this type of incident and the action that may be required to be taken. Any future restructure will need to ensure that key posts are retained within the organisation to continue to minimise this risk Borough Emergency Management Team to co-ordinate the Council's response on tactical matters. B. E. M. Team. 6 month review of EP plan with Directorate changes. Annual report to CMT Independently review the Borough Major Emergency Plan to ensure it is fit for purpose. complete | | Procurement of an IT system to manage BC plan update Drafting of a business case to procure an IT system to manage BC plan update and incident <i>Trevor Kennett</i> <u>Required Control Measure</u> <i>Taraet Date:</i> | | | David Tolley | A Transformed Council, Making Best Use Of Resources And With |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | | | | Rollout a refresh training programme for senior managers and officers supporting the emergency plan. Complete | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Diels Def | | | | | Current Risk | | Target Risk | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|--------------|---|-------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| PGSE000 | Failure to achieve community cohesion Radicalisation of young people and gangs | Extremist incident - local,national or international Failure to engage with stakeholders in responding to extremism Failure to liaise with police to address extremism Increasing polarisation between communities in the borough Council funding decision exacerbating community tension | Rising crime and unrest within the Borough Damage to property and harm to persons Reputation damage Increase in social deprivation More segmented society | Formal multi-agency approach in place Formal multi-agency approach in place (including Council, Police, Education, Housing Organisations, Voluntary Sector and Faith Organisations. Cohesion Promotion Programme e.g. No Place for Hate Forum and Events Use of Community Cohesion Contingency Planning & Tension Monitoring Group Community Cohesion Contingency Planning & Tension Monitoring Group Community Cohesion Contingency Planning & Tension Monitoring Group that complete cohesion impact assessment around key event Debrief programme in place for after key events/incidents. Cohesion toolkit and impact assessment in place and used Annual cohesion measured through residents' survey. Home Office funded programme of activities including interventions with young people. Multi-agency SAP Panel in place to review case referrals of individuals of concern within the Borough. Multi-agency SAP Panel in place to review case referrals of individuals of concern within the Borough. (Close liaison with Policy and SO15). On-going development of the "Prevent Programme" to include work in schools. Officer appointed to lead this work from May 2014. | 3 4 12 | Required Control Measure. Target Date: | 2 4 8 | Sue Hayes | Creating And Maintaining A |

| Risk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures | Target Risk L I Total | Responsibility | СРТ |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----|
| | | | | Competing prevent agenda action plan funded by Home Office | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| ek Dof Disks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Massures | Current Risk | Required Control Massures | et Risk | Peeponaibilit. | CPT |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| sk Ref Risks PGLS000 Non-compliance with corporate governance procedures | Triggers Poor understanding of governance processes / lack of clarity of processes Lack of awareness on areas such as conflict of interest and the Bribery Act Possible pressure from politicians on officers to deviate | Consequences Ineffective decision making Potential for reputation damage Poor council performance Failure to optimise opportunities Adverse media reporting Unlawfulness leading to ultra vires decision | Existing Control Measures Council Constitution in place Annual Governance Statement process reporting to the Audit Committee. . Regular meetings of the Statutory Officers Co-ordination Group. Ongoing. Arrangements in place for regular reporting of significant governance matters via Head of Audit and Risk and the Monitoring Off. Financial regulations, Financial and Procurement Procedures have been updated and further clarification of staff, manager and senior officer responsibilities have been incorporated. The post of 'financial compliance manager' has also been created through the restructure of finance and the role of that post is to monitor and report to the section 151 officer on non compliance in key areas such as use of purchase cards, petty cash, budget monitoring etc Member training provided on Code of Conduct. Training for members and independent co-opted members of Standards Advisory Committee on Standards Framework was held on 14/07/15. | Current Risk L I Total | Required Control Measures Review Constitution Proposals to be submitted to CMT by Constitution Working Group piecemeal as changes occur. Graham White Required Control Measure Target Date: 30/06/2017 Refresh Local Code of Corporate Governance The review will need to be carried out in conjunction with the work of the Governance Group and the new code of corporate governance. Minesh Jani Required Control Measure Target Date: 31/01/2017 Delivery of the culture change plan of the Best Value Plan Will Tuckley Required Control Measure Target Date: 31/03/2017 Refresh Officers' Scheme of Delegation Graham White Required Control Measure Target Date: 30/06/2017 Progression to the Mayor taking executive decisions in the absence of the Commissioners Will Tuckley Required Control Measure Target Date: 30/06/2017 Progression to the Mayor taking executive decisions in the absence of the Commissioners Will Tuckley Required Control Measure Target Date: 30/06/2017 Progression to the Mayor taking executive decisions in the absence of the Commissioners | Total | Responsibility Graham White | A Transformed Council, Making AD Best Use Of Resources And With AD |

| | | | | | Current Risk | | Target Risk | | |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----|
| lisk Ref | Risks | Triggers | Consequences | Existing Control Measures | L I Total | Required Control Measures | L I Total | Responsibility | CPT |
| | | | | Mandatory training programme in | | | | | |
| | | | | place for members of the Planning, | | | | | |
| | | | | Licensing and Grants Scrutiny | | | | | |
| | | | | Committees. | | | | | |
| | | | | Training provided post 2015 | | | | | |
| | | | | Elections and further training | | | | | |
| | | | | delivered due to changes in | | | | | |
| | | | | membership of said Committees. | | | | | |
| | | | | Member Induction Programme took | | | | | |
| | | | | place June - July 2014. | | | | | |
| | | | | (After the Local, Mayor and Euro | | | | | |
| | | | | elections). | | | | | |
| | | | | Strategic Information Governance | | | | | |
| | | | | Board and Information Governance | | | | | |
| | | | | Review Group in place. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Governance Review Working | | | | | |
| | | | | Group provide an oversight of | | | | | |
| | | | | continuing development of good | | | | | |
| | | | | governance | | | | | |
| | | | | geremanee | | | | | |
| | | | | E-learning anti fraud and bribery | | | | | |
| | | | | training programme including | | | | | |
| | | | | Bribery Act Provisions | | | | | |
| | | | | The e-learning and the | | | | | |
| | | | | accompanying test provide staff an | | | | | |
| | | | | opportunity to be appraised of the | | | | | |
| | | | | bribery regulations and more | | | | | |
| | | | | generally, of the anti fraud | | | | | |
| | | | | arrangements. | | | | | |
| | | | | Refresh Members Code of Conduct | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | O&S Grants Scrutiny | | | | | |
| | | | | Sub-committee responsible for | | | | | |
| | | | | pre-decision scrutiny of grants | | | | | |
| | | | | matters | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Discharge of Executive decisions | | | | | |
| | | | | by the Commissioners to ensure | | | | | |
| | | | | that legal requirements are met. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1 | |